Western Indian Ocean JOURNAL OF Marine Science

Volume 15 | Issue 1 | Jan - Jun 2016 | ISSN: 0856-860X

Chief Editor José Paula

$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Western Indian Ocean} \\ \textbf{J O U R N A L O F} \\ \textbf{Marine Science} \end{array}$

Chief Editor José Paula | Faculty of Sciences of University of Lisbon, Portugal

Louis CELLIERS

Copy Editor Timothy Andrew

Editorial Board

Serge ANDREFOUËT France Ranjeet BHAGOOLI Mauritius

Salomão BANDEIRA Mozambique

Betsy Anne BEYMER-FARRIS USA/Norway

Jared BOSIRE Kenya

Atanásio BRITO Mozambique South Africa Lena GIPPERTH Sweden Johan GROENEVELD South Africa Issufo HALO South Africa/Mozambique Christina HICKS Australia/UK Johnson KITHEKA Kenya Kassim KULINDWA Tanzania Thierry LAVITRA Madagascar Blandina LUGENDO Tanzania Aviti MMOCHI Tanzania Nyawira MUTHIGA Kenva Brent NEWMAN South Africa Jan ROBINSON Sevcheles Sérgio ROSENDO Portugal Melita SAMOILYS Kenya Max TROELL Sweden

Published biannually

Aims and scope: The *Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science* provides an avenue for the wide dissemination of high quality research generated in the Western Indian Ocean (WIO) region, in particular on the sustainable use of coastal and marine resources. This is central to the goal of supporting and promoting sustainable coastal development in the region, as well as contributing to the global base of marine science. The journal publishes original research articles dealing with all aspects of marine science and coastal management. Topics include, but are not limited to: theoretical studies, oceanography, marine biology and ecology, fisheries, recovery and restoration processes, legal and institutional frameworks, and interactions/relationships between humans and the coastal and marine environment. In addition, *Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science* features state-of-the-art review articles and short communications. The journal will, from time to time, consist of special issues on major events or important thematic issues. Submitted articles are subjected to standard peer-review prior to publication.

Manuscript submissions should be preferably made via the African Journals Online (AJOL) submission platform (http://www.ajol.info/index.php/wiojms/about/submissions). Any queries and further editorial correspondence should be sent by e-mail to the Chief Editor, <u>wiojms@fc.ul.pt</u>. Details concerning the preparation and submission of articles can be found in each issue and at http://www.wiomsa.org/wio-journal-of-marinescience/ and AJOL site.

Disclaimer: Statements in the Journal reflect the views of the authors, and not necessarily those of WIOMSA, the editors or publisher.

Copyright © 2016 —Western Indian Ocean Marine Science Association (WIOMSA) No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means without permission in writing from the copyright holder. ISSN 0856-860X



Temporal and Spatial variability in Reef fish Density and Biomass within the Dar es Salaam Marine Reserve System, Tanzania

Pagu Julius^{1,2,*}, Magnus Ngoile¹, Prosper Mfilinge¹

¹ Department of Aquatic Sciences and Fisheries, College of Natural and Applied Sciences, University of Dar es Salaam, P.O. Box 35064, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania ² Marine Parks and Reserves Unit Tanzania; P. O. Box 7565, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania * Corresponding author: juliuspagu@yahoo.com

Abstract

This study was conducted within the Dar es Salaam Marine Reserve System with the aim of investigating temporal and spatial variations in reef fish biomass and density. Benthic cover which is a key factor for fish distribution was also estimated. Sampling was conducted from August 2014 to April 2015. Underwater Visual Census (UVC) techniques were used for the assessment of reef fish density and biomass, and line intercept transect (LIT) was used for the estimation of benthic cover. Results showed that there was a significant seasonal and temporal variation in fish density and biomass at both Mbundya and Bongoyo Islands. Benthic cover of live coral was significantly higher at Mbudya than at Bongoyo. It was concluded that Mbudya Island has less seasonal variation than Bongoyo due to the existence of a larger fraction of live coral cover. These results have implications for the management of marine reserves with regard to destructive fishing practices, a key factor impacting the stability and biomass of reef fish populations.

Keywords: Reef fish density, reef fish biomass, seasonal variation, Marine Reserves, Tanzania

Introduction

Identifying the scales of spatial and temporal variation relevant to patterns of distribution and abundance of species is a first step in the process of understanding the forces that govern their community structure (Underwood et al., 2000). Size structure of fish populations are related to seascape structure, including water depth, suggesting that non-linear variations exist in secondary production, seasonal variation, and biomass turnover rates across spatial and temporal scales (Fowler, 1990; Malcolm et al., 2007). Ecological processes such as predation risk and foraging success/ food availability are considered among the core factors underpinning fish population dynamics in putative nursery habitats (Kimirei, 2012). Coral reef fishes often exhibit a high degree of structure in their distribution and abundance, but the factors that influence their spatial and temporal arrangement are poorly understood (Lecchini et al., 2003; Malcolm et al., 2007; Tuya et al., 2011). Despite considerable research effort, particularly

in recent decades, the processes that determine such characteristics are still contentious and a source of debate (Fowler, 1990; Akin, 2003; Borges *et al.*, 2007). Patterns in reef fish abundance, diversity and assemblage structure remains largely unexplored; even less understood is the extent to which any spatial and temporal pattern remains consistent through time (Tuya *et al.*, 2011; Huijbers, 2015). There have been no previous studies on temporal and spatial variability of reef fish density and biomass within the Dar es Salaam Marine Reserve system (DMRs) on which this study is focussed.

DMRs has two sections. The Northern Dar es Salaam Marine Reserve system (NDMRs) was gazetted in June 1975 due to the high biodiversity found there and its high aesthetic, recreational, and educational and research value, as stipulated under the Fisheries Act No. 6 of 1970 and in the General Management Plan (GMP). The Southern Dar es salaam Marine Reserves (SDMRs) was gazetted in 2007 and lacks a GMP. Earlier studies in the NDMRs indicated that some parts had significant live coral cover and were important tourist attractions, while some areas were already degraded as a result of dynamite fishing (Hamilton, 1975; Wagner, 2000; 2004). In general, the DMRs were characterized by unregulated fishing, including the widespread use of beach seines, spear fishing and dynamite, prior to the Marine Parks and Reserves Unit (MPRU) taking over their management and the introduction of a GMP in 2005. Benno (1992) also observed that the natural systems within the DMRs had been degraded due to the widespread use of dynamite and destructive fishing techniques prior to the MPRU taking control.

The NDMRs comprises a chain of small islets (Bongoyo, Mbudya and Pangavini) and the Fungu Yasini sand bank. The SDMRs comprise inner and outer Sinda and Makatube Islands, and Kendwa Island. Both areas are located close to Dar es Salaam City, being separated from the mainland by the main entrance of Dar es Salaam harbour. The islands are surrounded by diverse and unique habitats including coral reefs, seagrass beds, sandy beaches and rocky shores, and lie within waters of less than 20 m depth.

Various studies have been carried out in the DMRs. Hamilton (1975) described the coral fauna of the East African Coast, Kamukuru (1997) carried out an assessment of the biological status of the DMRs, and McClanahan *et al.* (1999) assessed the effect of Marine Parks and fishing on coral reefs. Most studies in the DMRs have investigated the status of coral reefs (Kamukuru, 1997;



Figure 1. Map of Dar es Salaam Marine reserve showing study sites

Muhando and Francis, 2000; Mohammed *et al.*, 2000; Wagner, 2004; McClanahan *et al.*, 2009). However, information on fish biomass and density within the DMRs is not exhaustive. Kamukuru, (2009) studied the trap fishery and reproductive biology of the white spotted rabbit fish *Siganus sutor* (Siganidae) within NDMRs.

Tuya *et al.* (2011) reported that, at small spatial scales (>10 <100 km), the physical structure of the reef plays a key role in the organization of fish assemblages by providing protection from predators and accessibility to food. The relationship between reef habitat and fish population structure is becoming a major tool for the sustainable management of fisheries and marine park planning (Anderson and Millar, 2004; García-Charton *et al.*, 2004). This study aimed to investigate temporal and spatial variation in coral reef fish biomass and density within the DMRs, and was replicated in the dry season (August and September, 2014), intermediate rainy season (January and February, 2015) and wet season (April, 2015) in order to capture seasonal variations.

Methodology

This study was conducted within the DMRs located 26 km from Dar es Salaam City centre (Fig. 1). Sampling sites details are shown in Table 1. Rapid assessment using the manta tow technique was conducted in the shallow waters around Mbudya and Bongoyo Islands for the general description of sampling sites. At each Island two sites were established based on coral health status. Eight belt transects (50m x 10m) with three swim tracks were conducted for observation of fish biomass and density for each sampling season. The Underwater Visual Census (UVC) technique (English *et al.*, 1994) was adopted to assess reef fish density,

Table 1. Location of sampling sites.

biomass and diversity. A 50m fibreglass tape was laid on the reef flat where reef fish were assessed within a distance of 5m on either side of tape. Three swimming tracks were conducted along each belt transect, with a 20 minute interval between them to allow fish to return to the area. A total of 8 belt transects were conducted at each site per sampling season.

Fish observed along each swimming transect were identified with the aid of laminated colour photographs of reef fish. Fish were counted and the size category estimated and recorded on a plastic slate. The size categories used were named as Juvenile (0-10 cm), Recruit (11-20 cm), and Adult (21cm-above). Fish counts were undertaken by swimming at a slow and constant speed along the transect line while recording fish by size category and species. A 10m transect was laid on the reef to assess the benthic cover based on the Line-Intercept Transect (LIT) technique of English *et al.* (1994). Benthic cover was recorded as live hard coral, rubble, sea grass, sand, rock, and algae.

In addition, a GPS was used for marking the study sites, an underwater camera was used for taking photographs of reef fish for later identification, and a portable multiprobe Horiba instrument was used for measuring temperature, oxygen, turbidity, conductivity, salinity and pH.

Data analysis

Fish density (counts) was computed as individuals/ha. Fish biomass in kg/ha was estimated from published length-weight relationships (See www.fishbase.org) using conversion equations (W = a * Lb) where a and b are constants for each fish. Statistical analysis was carried out using Graph Pad Instant Statistical software,

Site	Sampling Location		Coordinates
mbudya	West	Latitude	06°39′07″ S
		Longitude	39°14′39″E
	South	Latitude	06°39′24″ S
		Longitude	39°14′49″E
Bongoyo	West	Latitude	06°41′20″ S
		Longitude	39°15′24″E
	South	Latitude	06°41′44″ S
		Longitude	39°15′42″E

Version 3.06. Both number of individuals and biomass was tested for normality before data analysis, with the software providing the best option for analysis thereafter. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare data between sampling dates, and the nonparametric Spearman correlation coefficient for relation between fish density and coral cover.

Results

Most of the water quality parameters measured were significantly different along the seasons, except for Dissolved Oxygen and Turbidity (see Figs. 2 and 3). Benthic categories and their cover at Mbudya and Bongoyo are described in Fig. 4 and Table 2. Mbudya displayed the highest percentage of live coral cover ($87.3 \pm 1.22\%$) while Bongoyo had the highest percentage of coral rubble ($33.03 \pm 2.02\%$). Both types of cover differed significantly (P < 0.0001) between the study sites.

Reef fish size structure revealed a prevalence of individuals of <10cm in both study sites, signifying a dominance of small-bodied fishes, mainly of the family Pomacentridae (Fig. 5). Very few individuals of >20cm were observed in the study areas; possibly an impact of overfishing.

The mean number of reef fish counted in Bongoyo for each sampling phase was 2419 (August/September, 2014), 3485 (January/February, 2015), and 3607 (April, 2015) ind/ha respectively (Fig. 6). Fish density varied significantly between sampling phases (Kruskal-Wallis Test (Hc) = 23.429, P < 0.0001). The mean reef fish biomass at Bongoyo for each sampling season was 65, 170 and 221 kg/ha during phase one (August and September, 2014), phase two (January and February, 2014) and phase three (April, 2015) respectively (Fig. 7). There was a significant difference in fish biomass between the three sampling phases (Kruskal-Wallis Test (Hc) = 27.631, P < 0.0001).

The mean number of reef fish counted in Mbudya for each season was 12413, 13988, and 10517 individuals/ ha during phase one (August and September, 2014), phase two (January and February, 2014) and phase



Figure 2. Variation of selected water parameters at the study sites in Mbudya and Bongoyo islands.



Figure 3. Dissolved oxygen variation at the study sites in Mbudya and Bongoyo islands.



Figure 4. Benthic cover at Mbudya and Bongoyo Islands Marine Reserves.

three (April, 2015) respectively (See Fig. 8), and there was a significant difference in fish density between sampling phases (Kruskal-Wallis Test (Hc) = 42.352, P < 0.0001). The mean reef fish biomass at Mbudya for each sampling season was 697, 471 and 934 kg/ha during phase one (August and September, 2014), phase two (January and February, 2014) and phase three (April, 2015) respectively (see Fig. 9). There was a significant difference in fish biomass between sampling phases (Kruskal-Wallis Test (Hc) = 32.957, P < 0.0001).

It was found that an almost perfect positive correlation existed between fish density and live coral cover. Nonparametric Spearman Correlation Coefficient for Mbudya was (r) = 0.9971, n = 96, p< 0.0001 and at Bongoyo (r) = 0.9963, n = 96, p< 0.0001.

Overall, Mbudya displayed higher fish biomass than Bongoyo, mainly composed by members of the family Pomacentridae (27%), followed by Pomacanthidae (10%), and Scaridae (6%). The remaining biomass was made up of several other species. Reef fish of all sizes

Table 2. Benthic cover at study sites (%).

were observed at Mbudya, as compared to mainly juveniles observed at Bongoyo Island. At Bongoyo, reef fish density is directly correlated to fish biomass. Biomass was made up mainly of individuals of small size, mostly juveniles. This means that the fewer individuals present, the less the biomass, and vice versa, which was the case around Bongoyo Island. A few fish families made up the greatest contribution to biomass, namely the families Kyphosidae (19%), Chaetodontidae (11%) and Blenniidae (11%) (see also Julius, 2015).

Discussion

Water parameters measured were within the required range for coral reef survival, and live coral cover at Mbudya and Bongoyo Islands was significantly different. Benthic cover has a direct link to biomass and density in that those areas with higher live coral cover have higher numbers of juveniles, recruits and adults. The positive correlation between fish density and live coral cover corroborates that coral cover is a key substratum for reef fish.

Benthic cover	Mbudya (Mean + SE)	Bongoyo (Mean + SE)	Islands' comparison
Live coral cover	87.28+ 1.22	52.23 + 1.27	Two sample t-test. P < 0.0001
Rubble	5.95 + 0.60	33.03 + 2.02	Two sample t-test P < 0.0001
Seagrass	4.25 + 0.57	8.09 + 0.69	Two sample t-test P = 0.0024
Sand	1.83 + 0.18	5.16 + 0.38	Mann-Whitney Test. P < 0.0001
Rock (RCK)	0.39 + 0.16	0.75 + 0.17	Mann-Whitney Test. P = 0.0480
Algae (soft & Turf)	0.28 + 0.11	0.76 + 0.14	Mann-Whitney Test. P =0.0041



Figure 5. Reef fish population size structure in Mbudya and Bongoyo islands.

The dominant fish size class was juvenile reef fishes. The possible reason for this observation is displacement of juveniles by adults from the adjacent coral reef at Mbudya Island Marine Reserve, perhaps as a mechanism for juveniles to avoid predation. The density of reef fishes is often influenced by the availability of potential shelter sites (Steele, 1999). Juvenile, recruit and adult reef fish have specific habitat requirements that determine spatial size distribution. The separation of juveniles in nursery habitats from the adults on the coral reef implies migration from nursery habitats (such as seagrass beds and mangroves) to the coral reef. Further, these migrations may be related to diet shift with size (Cocheret de la Morinie`re *et al.*, 2003).

Lugendo *et al.* (2005), Igulu *et al.* (2013), and Kimirei *et al.* (2013) all reported that the presence of seagrass is a potential component for juvenile settlement among reef fish, and Bongoyo Island has a large occurrence of seagrass cover. Various factors, including microhabitat and physical structures that may provide shelter from predators (such as corals, rocks and macroalgae) influence the spatial and temporal pattern of reef fish density (Jones, 1991; Hixon and Beets, 1993; Caley *et al.*, 1996). In such habitats predation of juveniles may be reduced and migration to alternative habitats could be an important defence mechanism. This indicates strong linkages between adjacent habitats at a local spatial scale, and emphasizes the importance of the inclusion of a diversity of habitats in Marine Protected Areas (Beets *et al.*, 2003).

Reef fish density at Mbudya showed an increase from phase one to phase two, with a decline in phase three. This decline was possibly attributed to an increase in the abundance of adult fish observed during this last phase, which reduced the abundance of juveniles due to predation or migration to safer habitats. Biomass declined from phase one to phase two when mostly small individuals were present on the reef. However, in phase three, where adult fish were dominant, the decrease in density coincided with an increase in biomass.

Observations on the spawning seasons of East African reef fishes show that most species are characterised



Figure 6. Reef fish density at Bongoyo Island.



Figure 7. Reef fish biomass at Bongoyo Island Marine Reserve.

by protracted spawning periods during the northeast monsoon, with two peaks in January to March, and September to November (Nzioka, 1979). The increase in adult reef fish observed during phase three (April) in this study may reflect the aggregation of reef fish for spawning at that time. Kamukuru (2009) reported that Siganus sutor exhibits a protracted spawning season in the DMRs, extending from December to May, and peaking in March, which coincides with observations during phase three (April) in the present study. It was reported by Ntiba and Jaccarini (1990) that S. sutor in inshore Kenyan waters exhibits two sharply defined spawning seasons occurring in January/February and May/June. Further, it was reported by Bwathondi (1981) that Siganids breed throughout the year in Tanzanian coastal waters. The above information is somewhat contradictory and further investigation is needed to conclusively link spawning behaviour to the temporal and spatial variation of reef fish in the present study.

As in this study, it was found previously (1996/7; 2004/5) that Mbudya supports a higher reef fish biomass than Bongoyo (McClanahan *et al.*, 1999;

McClanahan et al., 2009). These earlier studies found that fish biomass increased at both Mbudya (214.kg/ ha to 298.6kg/ha) and Bongoyo (129.1kg/ha to 159.1kg/ ha) between the survey dates, probably linked to more effective management. These results reflect those found in other MPAs in the region which are effectively protected, such as Kisite Marine National Park in Kenya. In Kisite, biomass almost doubled after seven years of effective protection (McClanahan et al., 2009). However, the above studies at Mbudya and Bongoyo did not account for possible seasonal variation, possibly resulting in an under estimate of biomass. Effective management began in the DMRs in 2002 and in 1978 at Kisite. The current study adds to the body of evidence that effective management has led to an increase in biomass over time in most MPAs of the Western Indian Ocean.

The current study has also shown that high live coral cover is directly linked to high fish biomass. With similar conditions and coral diversity, it is likely that fish biomass in the DMRs can increase further to the levels found in Kisite with effective management over time.



Figure 8. Reef fish density at Mbudya Island Marine Reserves.



Figure 9. Reef fish biomass at Mbudya Island Marine Reserves.

Based on the information presented here on reef fish density and biomass at Mbudya and Bongoyo Islands within the DMRs, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- Mbudya Island Marine Reserve has a higher reef fish biomass during all seasons attributable to fish populations comprising juveniles, recruits and adults, while Bongoyo Island has lower biomass comprising mostly juveniles and recruits.
- 2. Reef fish size structure and biomass was significantly different between seasons at both Islands.
- 3. Live coral cover is higher at Mbudya as compared to Bongoyo.

This study recommends the following:

- 4. Efforts to ensure the effective management of the DMRs need to be continued, with the GMP as the primary guidance document, as per the existing Marine Park and Reserve Act, No 29 of 1994 directives.
- 5. Demarcation buoys need to be placed at least at the corner points and buffer zone of the Marine Reserves.
- 6. Review and strengthen the enforcement for the DMRs and ensure that surrounding communities are effectively involved.
- Further investigation on spawning aggregations of highly-targeted fish species such as the Siganids and Serranids is needed to assist with management decisions aimed at protecting spawning aggregations.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the Western Indian Ocean Marine Science Association (WIOMSA) for financial support of this research through a Marine Research Grant (MARG) Programme. We appreciate the support from the University of Dar es Salaam for providing SCUBA diving facilities and other equipment for our research. We further acknowledge the assistance from the Marine Park and Reserve Unit (MPRU) for support and permission to conduct this study in the Marine Reserves. We acknowledge the contribution for Dr AT Kamukuru for valuable input in preparation of this manuscript.

References

- Akin S, Winemiller KO, Gelwick FP (2003) Seasonal and spatial variations in fish and macrocrustacean assemblage structure in Mad Island Marsh estuary, Texas. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 57: 269-282
- Anderson MJ, Millar RB (2004) Spatial variation and effects of habitat on temperate reef fish assemblages in northeastern New Zealand. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 305: 191-221
- Beets J, Muehlstein L, Haught K, Schmitges H (2003) Habitat Connectivity In Coastal Environments: Patterns and Movements of Caribbean Coral Reef Fishes With Emphasis On Bluestriped Grunt, *Haemulon sciurus*. Gulf and Caribbean Research 14(2): 29-42
- Benno BL (1992) Some features of beach seine fishery along the Dar es Salaam coast, Tanzania. MSc Thesis, University of Kuopio, Finland. 68 pp
- Borges R, Ben-Hamadou R, Chícharo A, Re´ P, Emanuel J, Goncalves EM (2007) Horizontal spatial and temporal distribution patterns of near shore larval fish assemblages at a temperate rocky shore. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 71:412-428
- Bwathondi POJ (1981) The culture of rabbitfish *Siganus* spp. in Tanzania. Stockholm, IFS. 35 pp.

- Caley MJ, St John J (1996) Refuge availability structures assemblages of tropical reef fishes. Journal of Animal Ecology 65: 414-428
- Cocheret de la Morinière E, Pollux BJA, Nagelkerken I, Van der Velde G (2003) Diet shifts of Caribbean grunts (Haemulidae) and snappers (Lutjanidae) and the relation with nursery-to-coral reef migrations. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 57: 1079-1089
- English S, Wilkinson C. Baker, V. (eds.) (1994) Survey Manual for Tropical Marine Resources. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville. 368 pp
- FishBase. FishBase World Wide Web electronic publication. Accessed at http://www.fishbase.org/
- Fowler A J (1990) Spatial and temporal patterns of distribution and abundance of chaetodontid fishes at One Tree Reef, southern GBR. Marine Ecology Progress Series 64: 39-53
- García-Charton JA, Perez-Ruzafa A, Sánchez-Jerez P, Bayle-Sempere JT, Reñones O, Moreno D (2004) Multi-scale spatial heterogeneity, habitat structure, and the effect of marine reserves on western Mediterranean rocky reef fish assemblages. Marine Biology 144: 161-182
- Hamilton HGH (1975) A description of the coral fauna of the East African Coast. MSc Thesis, University of Dar es Salaam. 264 pp.
- Hixon MA, Beets JP (1993) Predation, prey refuges and the structure of coral-reef fish assemblages. Ecological Monographs 63: 77-101
- Huijbers CM, Nagelkerken I, Layman CA (2015) Fish movement from nursery bays to coral reefs: a matter of size? Hydrobiologia 750: 89-101 [DOI 10.1007/ s10750-014-2162-4]
- Igulu M.M, Nagelkerken I, Van der Beek M, Schippers M, Van Eck R, Mgaya YD (2013) Orientation from open water to settlement habitats by coral reef fish: behavioural flexibility in the use of multiple reliable cues. Marine Ecology Progress Series 493: 243-257
- Jones GP (1991) Post recruitment processes in the ecology of coral reef fish populations: a multifactorial perspective. In: Sale, P.F. (ed.) The Ecology of Fish on Coral Reefs. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, pp 294-330
- Julius P (2015) Assessment of Reef Fish Biomass and Density in the Dar Es Salaam Marine Reserves, Tanzania". Final report, WIOMSA, MARG I. 22pp
- Kamukuru AT (1997) Assessment of the biological status of the Dar es Salaam Marine Reserves System off the Kunduchi coast, Tanzania. Report to the Western Indian Ocean Marine Science Association (WIOMSA), Zanzibar and IOC/UNESCO Paris, France. 31 pp

- Kamukuru AT (2009) Trap fishery and reproductive biology of the white spotted rabbitfish *Siganus sutor* (Siganidae), within the Dar es Salaam Marine Reserves, Tanzania. Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science 8 (1): 75-86
- Kimirei IA (2012) Importance of mangroves and seagrass beds as nurseries for coral reef fishes in Tanzania. PhD Thesis, Faculty of Science, Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands. 204 pp.
- Kimirei IA, Nagelkerken I, Trommelen M, Blankers P, Van Hoytema N, Hoeijmakers D, Huijbers CM. Mgaya YD, Rypel AL (2013) What Drives Ontogenetic Niche Shifts of Fishes in Coral Reef Ecosystems. Ecosystems. 16: 783-796
- Lecchini D, Adjeroud M, Pratchett MS, Cadoret L, Galzin R (2003) Spatial structure of coral reef fish communities in the Ryukyu Islands, southern Japan. Oceanologica Acta 26: 537-547
- Lugendo BR, Pronker A, Cornelissen I, Groene A, Nagelkerken I, Dorenbosch M, van der Velde G, Mgaya YD (2005) Habitat utilisation by juveniles of commercially important fish species in a marine embayment in Zanzibar, Tanzania. Aquatic and Living Resources 18: 149-158
- Malcolm HA, Gladstone W, Lindfield S, Wraith J, Lynch TP (2007) Spatial and temporal variation in reef fish assemblages of marine parks in New South Wales, Australia - baited video observations. Marine Ecology Progress Series 350: 277-290
- McClanahan TR, Muthiga NA, Kamukuru AT, Machano H, Kiambo RW (1999) The effects of marine parks and fishing on coral reefs of northern Tanzania. Biological Conservation 89: 161-182
- McClanahan TR, Muthiga N, Maina J, Kamukuru AT, Yahya S (2009) Changes in northern Tanzania coral reefs during a period of increased fisheries management and climatic disturbance. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 19:10.1002/ aqc.19 (7): 758-771
- Mohammed MS, Muhando CA, Machano H (2000). Assessment of coral reef degradation in Tanzania: Results of coral monitoring 1999. In: Souter D, Obura D, Linden O (eds.). Coral Reef Degradation in the Indian Ocean: Status Report 2000, CORDIO. pp 35-4
- Muhando CA, Francis J (2000) The status of coral reefs in the Dar-es-salaam marine reserves system and the state of reefs in other marine protected areas of Tanzania. IMS/UNEP/ICRAN Report. 32pp
- Muhando CA, Mohammed SM (2002) Coral reef benthos and fisheries in Tanzania before and after the 1998

coral bleaching and mortality event. Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science 1: 43-52

- Ntiba MJ, Jaccarini V (1990) Gonad maturation and spawning times of *Siganus sutor* off the Kenya coast: evidence for definite spawning seasons in tropical fish. Journal of Fish Biology 37: 315-325
- Nzioka RM (1979) Observations on the spawning seasons of East African reef fishes. Journal of Fish Biology 14: 239-342
- Steele AM (1999) Effects of shelter and predators on reef fishes. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 233: 65-79
- Tuya F, Wernberg T, Thomsen MS (2011) The relative influence of local to regional drivers of variation

in reef fishes. Journal of Fish Biology 79: 217-234 [doi:10.1111/j.1095 8649.2011.03015]

- Underwood AJ, Chapman MG, Connell SD (2000). Observations in ecology: you can't make progress on processes without understanding the patterns. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 250: 97-115
- Wagner GM (2000) Synthesis of literature on coral reefs in Tanzania. Prepared on Behalf of Science and Technical Working Group (STWG) of Tanzania Coastal Management Partnership (TCMP)
- Wagner GM (2004) Coral Reefs and Their Management in Tanzania, Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science 3 (2): 227-243